

LEADERSHIP SKILLS FOR THE ACTUALIZATION OF PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES GOALS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Eucharía Sotonye SOMIARI-PEOPLE

Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria.

Gloria IDIABANA

Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria

gloriaidiabana.gl@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5944-330X>

ABSTRACT

The study titled ‘Leadership skills for the actualization of public universities goals in the 21st century’ explored the essential leadership competencies required to drive institutional effectiveness and goal attainment in the evolving educational landscape. Given the increasing complexity of university administration, the study examines critical leadership skills such as strategic visioning, adaptability, decision-making, and effective communication within the context of public universities in Rivers State. The research highlighted how these leadership skills influence institutional productivity, staff motivation, and students’ academic performance. The study findings provided insights into contemporary leadership theories, emphasizing the applicability of Servant Leadership and Situational Leadership in higher education management. The study highlighted the challenges university leaders face, including inadequate funding, bureaucratic constraints, and resistance to change, while proposing strategic leadership approaches to mitigate these issues. The study concluded that leadership effectiveness is directly linked to institutional goal actualization, emphasizing the need for leadership development programs, data-driven decision-making, and policy reforms. The study contributed to educational administration discourse by recommending structured leadership training, enhanced stakeholder collaboration, and adaptive governance models tailored to the Nigerian university system. These findings provided valuable implications for policymakers, university management, and scholars seeking to optimize leadership strategies for institutional growth.

Keywords: Leadership skills, institutional effectiveness, university governance, strategic decision-making, public universities, educational administration.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Somicari-People, E. S., & Idiababa, G. (2025). Leadership skills for the actualization of public universities goals in the 21st century. *International Journal of Strategic Leadership, Education and Social Sciences*, 1(2), 382-401.

Copyright © 2025 IJSLESS

INTRODUCTION

Leadership in higher education has evolved significantly in the 21st century, with an increasing emphasis on strategic governance, innovation, and institutional effectiveness. Universities play a critical role in knowledge production, human capital development, and national economic growth, necessitating leaders who can navigate complex educational landscapes. The global shift from bureaucratic and autocratic leadership styles to transformational, participatory, and adaptive

models has redefined institutional administration. In this era of globalization and rapid technological advancement, effective leadership has become indispensable for achieving institutional goals and enhancing the quality of education.

As aptly posited by Ololube (2024), to navigate the complexities of educational administration and institutional growth, institutional leaders need to possess the 21st-century leadership skills, including strategic thinking, adaptability, and data-driven decision-making. Scholars argue that contemporary university leadership must integrate flexibility, inclusivity, and technological advancement to address emerging challenges (Achanga, 2012; Alemu, 2024; Ololube, 2017).

In spite of the critical role of university leadership in institutional success, public universities in Rivers State continue to grapple with leadership inefficiencies that hinder goal actualization. In the Nigerian context, leadership in public universities faces multiple constraints, including funding limitations, policy inconsistencies, and infrastructural decay, requiring a paradigm shift toward more proactive and innovative leadership approaches. Many institutions struggle with decision-making bottlenecks, lack of strategic planning, and poor stakeholder engagement, affecting overall productivity and educational outcomes (Amuda-Kannike & Shiyabade, 2023). Research has shown that leadership deficiencies in these universities often stem from inadequate training, limited exposure to global best practices, and resistance to change (Kiplangat, 2014). Consequently, these limitations impact student performance, research output, and the universities' contributions to national development. Addressing these challenges requires an in-depth exploration of leadership competencies that align with modern educational demands and governance expectations.

This academic appraisal aims to examine the essential 21st-century leadership skills required for effective goal actualization in public universities in Rivers State. Specifically, it seeks to identify leadership competencies that foster institutional growth, enhance administrative efficiency, and improve overall academic performance. Exploring key leadership models such as transformational, servant, and adaptive leadership, this research will provide insights into leadership practices that drive institutional success. Moreover, the study will assess how leadership training, strategic decision-making, and stakeholder collaboration contribute to goal realization in higher education. The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policy reforms and leadership development programs in Nigerian universities. Identifying leadership skills that enhance institutional effectiveness, this research will offer valuable recommendations for university administrators, policymakers, and education stakeholders. Furthermore, the study will contribute to the broader discourse on leadership transformation in African higher education, bridging existing knowledge gaps and providing a framework for leadership excellence in public universities.

Given the increasing global competition in higher education, fostering effective leadership in Nigerian universities is crucial for improving academic quality, research innovation, and international recognition. The study focuses on public universities in Rivers State, providing a contextual analysis of leadership practices and their impact on institutional performance. While the research highlights key leadership competencies and strategies, it acknowledges certain limitations, such as variations in leadership styles across different institutions and potential constraints in data availability. On the other hand, adopting a comprehensive literature-based approach, will offer a vigorous understanding of the leadership dynamics necessary for goal actualization in Nigerian public universities. The findings will serve

as a foundation for future research and practical leadership interventions aimed at strengthening higher education governance in Rivers State and beyond.

THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON LEADERSHIP IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Leadership in higher education is a dynamic and evolving concept that requires a strong theoretical foundation to navigate institutional complexities. This study is anchored on two fundamental leadership theories: Servant Leadership Theory by Greenleaf and Situational Leadership Theory cited in Ololube (2024). These frameworks provide valuable acumens into effective leadership practices that align with the goal of achieving institutional excellence in public universities in Rivers State.

Servant Leadership Theory in University Governance

Servant Leadership Theory, as conceptualized by Greenleaf (1977), cited in Ololube (2024), highlights the fundamental principle that effective leadership is primarily about serving others rather than exerting authority over them. In the context of higher education governance, this theory aligns with the role of university leaders in fostering institutional growth by prioritizing the needs of faculty, students, and staff. Servant leadership in universities is characterized by ethical decision-making, inclusivity, and a commitment to the holistic development of stakeholders. Research has shown that university administrators who adopt servant leadership principles tend to create a collaborative and innovative academic environment, where shared decision-making enhances institutional effectiveness (Ajayi, 2018). The theory placing emphasis on empathy, active listening, and stewardship, servant leaders in public universities in Rivers State can bridge the leadership gaps that often hinder academic excellence and sustainable institutional growth.

Servant leadership in higher education and the ability to adoptive a participatory culture that promotes mentorship, professional development, and knowledge-sharing remains one of the critical aspects of servant leadership. University leaders who embrace servant leadership actively empower faculty and administrative staff, encouraging innovation and adaptability in academic management (Eze & Okonkwo, 2019). This approach enhances motivation and job satisfaction among university personnel, reducing resistance to change and improving overall institutional efficiency. In Nigerian public universities, where leadership challenges often stem from bureaucratic inefficiencies and hierarchical decision-making, servant leadership offers a transformative approach that prioritizes people over policies. Focusing on the well-being and development of academic communities, servant leadership can address governance inefficiencies that negatively impact educational outcomes.

Furthermore, the application of servant leadership in university governance has been linked to improved student engagement and learning experiences. When leaders prioritize students' academic and personal growth, they create an enabling environment that fosters critical thinking, creativity, and lifelong learning (Bassey & Ekpo, 2020). Servant leadership principles emphasize humility and the willingness to serve, which can inspire students to actively participate in academic and extracurricular activities. This leadership model also promotes ethical leadership by instilling values such as integrity, accountability, and social responsibility in both faculty members and students. Given the evolving landscape of higher education, servant leadership presents a dynamic framework for addressing contemporary challenges, particularly in fostering inclusivity and equity in university governance.

Despite its numerous advantages, implementing servant leadership in public universities in Rivers State presents several challenges, including resistance to change, ingrained bureaucratic practices, and limited leadership training opportunities. Many university administrators still adhere to traditional hierarchical models, which prioritize authority over collaboration. Additionally, the lack of structured leadership development programs often results in inconsistent adoption of servant leadership principles. However, integrating servant leadership into university governance through strategic policy reforms and leadership training initiatives can significantly enhance institutional effectiveness. Embracing a servant leadership mindset, university leaders can create sustainable academic environments that promote long-term growth and development.

Situational Leadership Theory in University Contexts

Situational Leadership Theory, developed by Hersey and Blanchard (1969), posits that there is no single best leadership style; rather, effective leadership is contingent upon the specific needs and readiness levels of followers (Udo & Akpan, 2017). This theory is particularly relevant to this study as it allows academic administrators to adapt their leadership approaches based on varying institutional challenges and faculty competencies. Situational leadership in higher education involves assessing the developmental levels of staff, faculty, and students, and tailoring leadership strategies accordingly. Given the dynamic nature of academic institutions, where different departments and stakeholders require unique management approaches, situational leadership provides university leaders with the flexibility to navigate complex administrative landscapes.

A key strength of situational leadership in university governance is its ability to enhance faculty motivation and performance. Recognizing the distinct capabilities and needs of faculty members, university leaders can adopt directive, coaching, supportive, or delegative leadership styles to optimize institutional productivity (Nwosu & Amadi, 2019). For instance, newly recruited lecturers may require a directive leadership approach, with clear guidance and structured mentoring to integrate effectively into the academic environment. Conversely, experienced professors and senior faculty members may thrive under a delegative leadership style, where autonomy and trust are emphasized. This adaptability ensures that academic institutions operate efficiently, fostering a culture of continuous learning and professional growth.

Another advantage of situational leadership in higher education is its role in facilitating effective change management. Universities frequently undergo structural and policy changes due to accreditation requirements, government regulations, and technological advancements. Effective university leaders who adopt situational leadership principles can assess the institution's readiness for change and employ appropriate leadership styles to ensure smooth transitions (Ekanem & Udoh, 2021). In the Nigerian higher education sector, where reforms such as curriculum restructuring and digital transformation are ongoing, situational leadership enables administrators to implement these changes while minimizing resistance. The ability to switch between leadership styles based on contextual needs ensures that policies and initiatives align with the institution's goals.

However, situational leadership in university governance also comes with challenges, particularly in balancing flexibility with consistency. The frequent shifts in leadership approaches may sometimes create confusion among faculty and staff, leading to uncertainty

regarding decision-making processes. Additionally, situational leadership requires a high level of emotional intelligence, as university leaders must accurately assess the competencies and readiness of their teams (Chukwuma & Obi, 2023). Without proper training in leadership adaptability, administrators may struggle to implement situational leadership effectively. Despite these challenges, situational leadership remains a valuable theoretical framework for managing the complexities of public universities in Rivers State. By embracing a context-driven leadership approach, university leaders can optimize institutional efficiency, improve faculty-student engagement, and enhance the overall quality of education.

The relevance for integration the theories to this study is that leadership in higher education requires a theoretical foundation that aligns with contemporary challenges and opportunities. Public universities in Rivers State can benefit from this dual-theory approach by cultivating leadership that is both empathetic and pragmatic, thereby enhancing institutional efficiency and academic performance. The combined application of Servant Leadership Theory and Situational Leadership Theory provides a balanced approach to university governance. While servant leadership nurtures trust, collaboration, and ethical decision-making, situational leadership ensures adaptability and responsiveness to institutional demands (Udo & Akpan, 2017; Ololube, 2024). Servant Leadership Theory and Situational Leadership Theory provide essential frameworks for understanding and improving university governance. Through the strategic application of these theories, public universities in Rivers State can strengthen their leadership structures, enhance academic productivity, and achieve sustainable institutional development.

CONCEPTUALIZATION

Leadership in Higher Education

Leadership in higher education encompasses the strategic, operational, and academic oversight necessary to foster institutional effectiveness, promote knowledge creation, and ensure sustainable development. Unlike leadership in other sectors, higher education leadership is uniquely complex, as it involves balancing academic freedom with institutional accountability, fostering innovation while maintaining regulatory compliance, and managing diverse stakeholders, including faculty, students, policymakers, and funding agencies. Ololube (2024) asserted that effective leadership in universities requires a multidimensional approach that integrates visionary planning, evidence-based decision-making, and ethical governance to address contemporary challenges in academia. Given the evolving nature of global education systems, higher education leadership must be adaptive, transformational, and resilient to ensure universities remain relevant in an era defined by digital transformation, economic shifts, and increased international competition.

The conceptualization of leadership in higher education is grounded in various theoretical frameworks that provide insights into effective governance and institutional management. Transformational leadership theory, as posited by Burns (1978) and later expanded by Bass (1985) as cited in Ololube (2024), emphasized the role of university leaders in inspiring faculty and students towards a shared vision, fostering innovation, and encouraging continuous professional development. Nwosu and Ekezie (2021) contend that transformational leadership in universities enhances institutional performance by motivating academic staff, creating a culture of excellence, and fostering research productivity. Conversely, transactional leadership, which is

characterized by structured management, performance monitoring, and policy enforcement, is also essential in ensuring institutional stability and operational efficiency. Agbor et al. (2023) noted that while transformational leadership drives long-term academic growth, transactional leadership provides the administrative foundation necessary for universities to function effectively within regulatory and policy frameworks.

Another critical perspective on higher education leadership is the participatory or shared leadership model, which advocates for collective decision-making, stakeholder engagement, and distributed responsibilities within academic institutions. Echu and Itodo (2022) argued that participatory leadership enhances governance structures in universities by integrating faculty expertise, student representation, and administrative input in policymaking and institutional planning. This model aligns with contemporary higher education trends that emphasize inclusivity, transparency, and academic democracy. However, its successful implementation depends on institutional culture, leadership competency, and the willingness of stakeholders to collaborate effectively.

In the Nigeria higher education system, leadership is often constrained by bureaucratic bottlenecks, political interference, funding limitations, and infrastructural deficits. Ananyi et al. (2024) asserted that credible leadership and management of 21st century must adopt innovative management strategies for improved social justice. Furthermore, leadership in higher education is increasingly shaped by global forces such as digitalization, international collaborations, and emerging governance models that demand greater accountability and institutional agility. As such, universities must cultivate leaders who are not only administratively competent but also visionary, adaptable, and committed to fostering academic excellence in a rapidly changing educational landscape.

Leadership in the 21st-Century Higher Education

The perception of leadership in higher education has evolved into a critical determinant of institutional success, particularly in the 21st century, where universities are expected to drive knowledge production, innovation, and economic development. Agbor et al. (2023) emphasized that leadership in higher education is no longer limited to administrative oversight but encompasses strategic visioning, academic excellence, and institutional sustainability. The shift from traditional bureaucratic leadership to more dynamic and participatory models has been necessitated by globalization, technological advancements, and the increasing demand for quality education (Ekanem & Udoh, 2021). These changes require university leaders to be adaptable, proactive, and visionary in fostering academic growth and maintaining institutional competitiveness.

The evolution of leadership in academia has transitioned from hierarchical, authority-driven governance to more inclusive and transformative models. Ananyi (2022) argued that traditional management of Nigeria experience predominantly characterized education for economic recovery centralized decision-making, rigid administrative structures, and limited stakeholder participation. This model, while effective in maintaining institutional order, often hindered innovation and adaptability. In contrast, contemporary leadership approaches prioritize shared governance, stakeholder engagement, and responsiveness to institutional needs (Ogunyemi, 2021). Transformational leadership, in particular, has gained prominence in higher education due to its emphasis on inspiring faculty, fostering academic innovation, and promoting institutional growth (Chukwuma & Obi, 2023). Integrating inclusive leadership frameworks,

universities can enhance collaboration among faculty, students, and administrators, leading to improved institutional effectiveness.

As universities operate within an increasingly competitive knowledge economy, leadership plays a pivotal role in institutional sustainability. Udo and Akpan (2017) asserted that university leaders are responsible for fostering research excellence, securing external funding, and forming industry partnerships that enhance institutional reputation. The ability to align academic programs with global economic demands ensures that universities produce graduates equipped with the necessary skills for the labor market. Furthermore, Eze and Okonkwo (2019) highlight that leadership in higher education directly influences national economic development by shaping policies, funding allocations, and research priorities. Institutions with forward-thinking leadership frameworks are better positioned to navigate global academic trends while addressing local educational challenges.

In the African, particularly in Nigeria, leadership in higher education faces unique challenges, including inadequate funding, infrastructural deficits, and policy inconsistencies. Bassey and Ekpo (2020) observed that the ability of university leaders to implement data-driven decision-making and innovative resource management strategies is essential in mitigating these challenges. To sustain growth, university leaders must embrace adaptive leadership models that prioritize long-term institutional resilience over short-term administrative fixes.

Ajayi (2018) noted that ethical leadership and accountability are critical in maintaining institutional integrity and ensuring that higher education institutions fulfill their mandate of producing skilled professionals and driving national development. The concept of 21st-century leadership in higher education highlights the necessity for innovative, inclusive, and forward-thinking governance. Ololube (2016) argued that as universities transition into knowledge economies, leaders must be equipped to address academic and administrative challenges while positioning their institutions to adapt to emerging trends. As universities strive to meet the demands of modern society, leadership must be proactive in implementing strategies that enhance student learning experiences, faculty development, and institutional sustainability. The ability to navigate these challenges while fostering a culture of excellence highlights the essence of 21st-century leadership in higher education.

Core Leadership Skills for 21st Century University Management

Effective university leadership in the 21st century necessitates the integration of visionary and strategic thinking, adaptive and transformational leadership, and emotional intelligence. These leadership competencies enable university administrators to navigate institutional challenges, drive academic innovation, and create an environment that supports faculty and student success. Nigerian universities that adopt these leadership approaches demonstrate improved institutional performance, making them better equipped to meet the demands of modern higher education. In the rapidly evolving backdrop of Nigeria higher education, university leaders must cultivate a diverse set of competencies to navigate contemporary challenges effectively. Critical leadership skills among these keys are visionary and strategic thinking, adaptations, emotional intelligence, innovation, digital literacy, technology-driven leadership, collaborative leadership and stakeholder engagement, ethical leadership and accountability, and resilience in crisis management. Each of these skills plays a pivotal role in fostering institutional excellence and sustainability.

Visionary and Strategic Thinking for Institutional Development

Visionary and strategic thinking are essential competencies for university leaders in the 21st century, enabling them to foresee challenges, harness opportunities, and ensure sustainable institutional growth. Visionary thinking entails the ability to conceptualize a long-term future for the university while inspiring stakeholders to align with that vision. Strategic thinking, on the other hand, involves the formulation and implementation of well-structured plans that guide an institution toward its defined goals. Leadership in higher education must incorporate both competencies to drive academic excellence, innovation, and infrastructural development. Agbor et al. (2023) emphasized that university leaders who integrate visionary and strategic planning enhance institutional stability and long-term success. Furthermore, strategic leadership plays a pivotal role in ensuring effective resource allocation and fostering an environment conducive to research and academic performance (Ololube, 2024).

In Nigerian universities, the impact of visionary and strategic thinking is evident in leadership efforts to address systemic challenges such as financial constraints, infrastructural deficits, and the evolving demands of higher education. For instance, strategic planning at the University of Lagos has been instrumental in improving its global ranking and research output (Okonkwo & Ananyi, 2022). Similarly, the leadership approach at Covenant University reflects a strong commitment to institutional visioning, which has contributed significantly to its reputation as one of the leading private universities in Nigeria (Ede, 2018). These examples highlight how university leaders who engage in strategic and visionary thinking facilitate institutional development, fostering long-term growth and academic excellence.

Adaptive and Transformational Leadership in Academic Governance

Adaptive and transformational leadership are integral to academic governance, equipping university administrators with the capacity to navigate the complexities of higher education, foster innovation, and drive meaningful change. Adaptive leadership focuses on the ability to respond effectively to emerging challenges, promoting flexibility and continuous learning among faculty and staff. Transformational leadership, on the other hand, emphasizes inspiring and motivating stakeholders toward achieving institutional objectives through collaboration, trust, and shared vision. According to Nwosu and Amadi (2019), adaptive leadership enables universities to remain resilient in the face of financial, technological, and policy-related changes. Similarly, transformational leadership fosters a culture of innovation, improving faculty engagement and academic productivity (Ajayi, 2018).

Empirical studies demonstrate that Nigerian university administrators who embrace adaptive and transformational leadership significantly enhance institutional performance. For instance, research by Ololube (2017) indicated that transformational leadership practices in Nigerian federal universities have led to improved student outcomes and enhanced faculty development programs. Additionally, adaptive leadership has been found to play a crucial role in institutional sustainability, particularly in mitigating the effects of funding challenges and policy fluctuations. These findings highlight the necessity for university leaders to adopt leadership approaches that facilitate continuous adaptation and transformational change in academic governance.

Emotional Intelligence and People-Centered Leadership in Universities

Emotional intelligence and people-centered leadership are crucial for fostering a productive and harmonious academic environment. Emotional intelligence encompasses self-awareness, empathy, and effective interpersonal skills, all of which are essential for managing faculty relations, student affairs, and institutional challenges. People-centered leadership prioritizes the well-being and development of academic stakeholders, ensuring that faculty members, students, and administrative personnel remain motivated and engaged. Venter et al. (2024) asserted that university leaders who exhibit high emotional intelligence create an atmosphere of inclusivity and collaboration, which enhances institutional stability. Moreover, emotionally intelligent leaders are better equipped to resolve conflicts and facilitate smooth communication between university stakeholders (Ololube, 2017).

In Nigerian universities, the role of emotional intelligence in leadership is evident in improving faculty motivation and student engagement. Studies indicate that universities where administrators demonstrate high emotional intelligence report higher levels of faculty satisfaction and lower incidences of workplace conflict (Walters, 2021). Additionally, research highlights that emotionally intelligent leadership contributes to enhanced student engagement, with institutions implementing mentorship programs and student support initiatives experiencing improved academic performance (Zuber-Skerritt, 2019). Integrating emotional intelligence with people-centered leadership approaches can help university administrators create a more cohesive and productive academic environment that is able to advance institutional goals and promote long-term success.

Innovation, Digital Literacy, and Technology-Driven Leadership

The integration of technological advancements into university management has become imperative in the 21st century. Echu and Itodo (2022) observed that the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of digital platforms in Nigerian universities, necessitating leaders to be proficient in digital literacy to facilitate effective decision-making, teaching, and research. They highlight that academic staff faced challenges such as unfamiliarity with online learning environments and inadequate infrastructure, underscoring the need for technology-driven leadership to address these issues. Moreover, university leaders must anticipate future technological shifts and align institutional policies with global standards to ensure long-term competitiveness. As digital technologies continue to evolve, the role of university administrators in ensuring technological adaptability becomes more significant, particularly in enhancing virtual learning platforms, digitized academic resources, and administrative automation.

Furthermore, the rapid shift to online education during the pandemic revealed gaps in technological preparedness among university administrators. Echu and Itodo (2022) emphasized the importance of investing in skills development and capacity building to empower academic staff in exploiting the full potential of remote and blended learning. This approach not only enhances teaching methodologies but also informs strategic decisions that align with global educational trends. Nigerian universities must also prioritize the development of robust digital infrastructures, including stable internet access, online assessment systems, and secure digital storage solutions. According to Okwu and Sam-Kalagbor (2023), a technology-driven leadership approach enhances operational efficiency, promotes research collaboration, and ensures that universities remain at the forefront of academic innovation. Hence, fostering digital literacy

among university administrators and faculty members is indispensable for institutional advancement and academic excellence in the digital age.

Collaborative Leadership and Stakeholder Engagement

Effective university governance relies heavily on collaborative leadership and active stakeholder engagement. Onyekwere and Azubuike (2023) highlighted that fostering a collaborative culture within universities significantly enhances task accomplishment. They identify elements such as teamwork, dynamic support, and shared norms and values as critical components that contribute to institutional success. Promoting these collaborative practices, makes university leaders create environments that are conducive for the achievement of academic and administrative goals. Additionally, fostering a participatory decision-making model that involves faculty, students, alumni, and external partners strengthens institutional resilience and adaptability. Collaborative leadership further enables universities to harness diverse perspectives and innovative solutions to address administrative and academic challenges, ensuring sustainable growth and development.

Moreover, Ogunode et al. (2023) discussed the challenges and importance of stakeholder participation in university administration in Nigeria. They argue that engaging stakeholders, including faculty, students, and external partners, leads to more informed decision-making processes and strengthens institutional partnerships. Barriers to effective stakeholder involvement, such as weak administrative structures and inadequate policy implementation, universities can enhance funding opportunities and community engagement. In addition, robust stakeholder engagement frameworks facilitate public-private partnerships, increasing financial sustainability and research collaborations. As Agbor et al. (2023) noted, universities that actively incorporate stakeholder perspectives in policy formulation and strategic planning experience improved institutional governance and higher student satisfaction. Hence, university leaders must prioritize transparent communication, inclusive decision-making, and sustainable engagement strategies to build long-lasting institutional relationships and achieve educational excellence.

Ethical Leadership and Accountability in Public Universities

Ethical leadership serves as the foundation for transparency, trust, and institutional effectiveness within public universities. Okwu and Sam-Kalagbor (2023) emphasized that leaders who uphold ethical standards foster a culture of accountability, which is essential for maintaining public confidence and achieving academic excellence. They advocate for the cultivation of transparency and ethical conduct to navigate complex challenges and fulfill the educational mission effectively. Ethical leadership also enhances the credibility of higher education institutions, ensuring that university policies and governance structures remain aligned with national and international academic standards. Leaders who demonstrate integrity and accountability in decision-making processes contribute to institutional legitimacy and public trust, ultimately improving student and faculty engagement.

In addition, Ogunode et al. (2023) highlighted the role of ethical leadership in addressing challenges such as resource mismanagement and policy implementation failures. They suggest that appointing competent leaders with a track record of ethical conduct can mitigate these issues, leading to improved governance and institutional performance. Universities can ensure a commitment to accountability at all levels of administration by investing in leadership development programs that emphasize ethical principles. Furthermore, institutions must

implement mechanisms such as anti-corruption policies, internal audits, and performance-based evaluations to enhance transparency and curb unethical practices. According to Agbor et al. (2023), promoting ethical leadership culture do not only improves institutional efficiency but also inspires faculty and students to uphold academic integrity that nurtures a climate of professionalism and moral responsibility.

Resilience and Crisis Management in Higher Education Leadership

The ability to manage crises and adapt to changing circumstances is a critical skill for university leaders. Echu and Itodo (2022) discussed how the COVID-19 pandemic posed unprecedented challenges, requiring swift transitions to remote learning and innovative problem-solving. They highlight that leaders who demonstrated resilience were able to navigate these disruptions effectively, ensuring the continuity of educational services. Crisis management in higher education also encompasses proactive risk assessment, contingency planning, and adaptive leadership. University administrators must develop crisis response frameworks that address challenges such as economic downturns, funding deficits, and student unrest. Moreover, resilient leadership fosters institutional agility, allowing universities to maintain stability while adapting to emerging global educational trends.

Furthermore, Ogunode et al. (2023) identified barriers to effective crisis management, including inadequate training and poor stakeholder engagement. They recommend that university leaders undergo continuous professional development to enhance their crisis management capabilities. Leaders who promote resilience can better handle economic downturns, policy shifts, and other institutional crises, thereby safeguarding the stability and progress of their universities. Additionally, implementing crisis communication strategies, mental health support systems, and emergency response plans strengthens institutional preparedness. As Ololube (2024) suggested, university leaders who cultivate a resilient organizational culture are better equipped to sustain institutional growth, foster academic innovation, and mitigate the long-term impact of crises. Therefore, integrating resilience training and strategic crisis management frameworks within university leadership programs is essential for enhancing institutional sustainability and adaptability in an unpredictable global environment.

CHALLENGES OF 21ST CENTURY LEADERSHIP IN NIGERIAN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES

The leadership challenges facing Nigerian public universities are multifaceted, with funding constraints, bureaucratic bottlenecks, political interference, and leadership capacity gaps presenting significant hurdles to effective governance. Key among these challenges are funding constraints and resource allocation issues, bureaucratic bottlenecks affecting institutional autonomy, political interference in leadership appointments, and capacity gaps in leadership succession. A critical examination of these issues holistically can strengthen Nigerian public universities governance frameworks, improve academic standards, and contribute meaningfully to national development.

Funding Constraints and Resource Allocation

The challenges confronting Nigerian public universities remains underfunding severely limiting their capacity to deliver quality education. The heavy dependence on government subventions, which often prove insufficient, has led to a steady decline in infrastructural development, faculty capacity building, and research output. Ogunode et al. (2023) further argued that financial inadequacies have constrained universities' ability to recruit and retain competent staff, provide modern teaching aids, and maintain functional learning environments.

Dimunah (2016) highlighted that the existing funding model, characterized by over-reliance on monthly government allocations, often fails to cover recurrent and capital expenditures, exacerbating financial instability. Mismanagement of available resources further compounds these financial constraints, leading to inefficiencies that undermine institutional growth. Okwu and Sam-Kalagbor (2023) contend that without significant financial management frameworks, universities struggle to attain their strategic goals, leading to a deterioration in academic standards. A shift towards diversified funding sources, including alumni endowments, consultancy services, and industry partnerships, has been proposed as a viable solution to mitigate funding shortfalls and enhance financial autonomy.

Bureaucracy, Policy Bottlenecks, and Institutional Autonomy

The complex regulatory frameworks imposed by government agencies create bottlenecks that restrict universities' ability to respond promptly to emerging challenges and opportunities. Ramtohul (2012) asserted that excessive bureaucracy in Nigerian public universities stifles institutional efficiency, leading to delays in decision-making, rigid administrative structures, and an overall lack of innovation. This administrative rigidity not only impairs governance but also undermines the autonomy of universities to determine their academic policies, financial management, and staff recruitment processes.

To improve institutional effectiveness, it is essential to reform administrative structures, streamline bureaucratic processes, and grant universities greater self-governance while ensuring accountability and regulatory compliance. Ololube (2024) highlighted that institutional autonomy is further weakened by political and governmental overreach, where universities are often compelled to align with state directives rather than their own strategic priorities. This restriction hampers universities' ability to implement policies that are tailored to their specific needs. Reducing unnecessary bureaucratic layers and adopting a decentralized governance model could enhance institutional responsiveness and foster academic innovation.

Political Interference and Leadership Appointments

The selection of university vice-chancellors and other key administrative figures is often influenced by political considerations rather than academic merit and leadership competency. Political interference in leadership appointments has significantly compromised the integrity of university governance in Nigeria. This politicization of appointments has resulted in the appointment of leaders who may lack the requisite experience and vision to effectively steer their institutions. Empirical evidence from Onyekwere and Azubuike (2023) suggested that politically motivated leadership appointments contribute to governance instability, internal conflicts, and declining stakeholder confidence. Such interference often leads to frequent leadership changes,

policy inconsistencies, and a misalignment of institutional priorities with national higher education objectives. To curb these challenges, higher education regulatory bodies should advocate for a transparent and merit-based leadership selection process that prioritizes academic excellence, administrative competence, and a demonstrated commitment to university development. Insulating leadership appointments from political pressures would enhance institutional credibility and foster long-term strategic planning.

Capacity Gaps and Leadership Succession Issues

The absence of structured leadership development programs has resulted in significant capacity gaps in Nigerian public universities. The lack of mentorship initiatives and continuous professional training has led to a scarcity of competent leaders prepared to assume administrative roles. This leadership vacuum often results in the appointment of individuals who may not possess the necessary managerial acumen, strategic vision, or policy implementation skills required for effective governance. Ololube (2024) argued that leadership succession challenges in Nigerian universities stem from the absence of clear career progression pathways for academic administrators. The absence of succession planning mechanisms results in leadership vacuums that disrupt governance, policy execution, and institutional continuity. Establishing formal mentorship frameworks, leadership capacity-building workshops, and succession planning strategies would ensure a steady pipeline of well-trained individuals ready to take on university leadership roles. Investing in leadership development programs that prioritize strategic management, financial acumen, and governance ethics would enhance institutional stability and sustainability.

LEADERSHIP STRATEGIES FOR GOAL ACTUALIZATION IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES

Effective leadership strategies are fundamental to achieving institutional goals in Nigerian public universities. Data-driven decision-making enhances transparency, accountability, and performance evaluation, while public-private partnerships provide essential financial and infrastructural support. Additionally, workforce development initiatives equip faculty members with the skills necessary for academic excellence and leadership sustainability. To ensure long-term success, universities must commit to these strategic approaches, addressing challenges through innovative policies and proactive governance. The adoption of dynamic, forward-thinking leadership strategies remains crucial for positioning Rivers State universities for long-term success and global competitiveness.

Data-Driven Decision-Making and Institutional Performance

Data-driven decision-making has become an essential strategy for enhancing leadership effectiveness in public universities. Leveraging empirical data, university administrators can make informed decisions that improve institutional performance, streamline resource allocation, and foster academic excellence (Ololube et al., 2016). Effective data utilization allows institutions to assess performance metrics, identify gaps, and implement evidence-based policies that align with global educational standards. In Nigerian universities, data-driven decision-making plays a crucial role in evaluating student performance, faculty productivity, and

institutional efficiency. They emphasize that through comprehensive data analytics, universities can identify trends that inform strategic planning, curriculum development, and policy formulation.

To maximize the benefits of this approach, institutions must invest in data management systems and train personnel in advanced data analysis techniques. However, despite its significance, the adoption of data-driven strategies is often hindered by inadequate technological infrastructure, lack of technical expertise, and resistance to change among stakeholders. Cheta-Maclean and Ololube (2024) highlighted that evidence-based policies enhance transparency and accountability in university leadership. When leaders rely on accurate data, they can justify decisions related to budget allocations, research funding, and faculty promotions. Furthermore, data-driven decision-making enables universities to track key performance indicators, evaluate program effectiveness, and address challenges proactively.

Leveraging Public-Private Partnerships for University Growth

Public-private partnerships (PPP) have emerged as a viable strategy for addressing funding challenges in Nigerian universities. Through strategic collaborations with private organizations, universities can access additional financial resources, develop infrastructure, and promote innovative research initiatives. Effective PPP models enable institutions to bridge funding gaps while fostering sustainable academic and research development. Cheta-Maclean et al. (2025) discuss how PPPs contribute to the establishment of modern research centers, improved hostel facilities, and state-of-the-art laboratories. They argue that partnerships with industries facilitate knowledge transfer, providing students and faculty with exposure to practical experiences that enhance employability and research output. Moreover, private sector involvement in university projects can lead to the commercialization of research findings, fostering economic growth and technological advancements.

While the benefits of PPPs are evident, Ololube (2025) caution that effective partnership management is critical to achieving desired outcomes. They highlight that weak regulatory frameworks, bureaucratic delays, and conflicting interests often hinder the successful implementation of PPP agreements. To overcome these challenges, universities must establish clear legal frameworks, maintain transparency in contractual agreements, and ensure accountability in project execution. Strengthening PPP engagement requires proactive leadership, effective negotiation skills, and a commitment to mutual goals that benefit both the academic community and private investors.

Workforce Development and Faculty Leadership Training

Faculty leadership training and continuous professional development are indispensable for institutional growth and academic excellence. University leaders must prioritize workforce development programs that equip faculty members with the necessary skills to navigate evolving pedagogical trends, research methodologies, and administrative responsibilities. Training programs enhance faculty leadership capacity, fostering a culture of innovation, collaboration, and student-centered learning. Olowookere and Adepoju (2022) emphasized that faculty training initiatives should focus on curriculum development, digital literacy, and research management. They argue that universities must establish structured mentorship programs where experienced academics provide guidance to emerging scholars and administrators. Such initiatives not only

promote knowledge transfer but also create a leadership pipeline that ensures succession planning and institutional stability.

Ananyi (2022) highlighted the role of faculty development in improving student outcomes and research productivity. They assert that universities that invest in leadership training witness increased staff motivation, improved teaching methodologies, and enhanced global competitiveness. However, inadequate funding, limited access to professional training resources, and administrative bottlenecks often undermine workforce development efforts. To address these challenges, institutions must advocate for increased government support, leverage international collaborations, and integrate leadership training into faculty appraisal systems.

ACTUALIZATION OF GOALS IN 21ST CENTURY PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES

The actualization of institutional goals in 21st-century public universities is contingent on the strategic leadership capabilities of university administrators, particularly in regions such as Rivers State, where public higher education institutions face distinct governance, financial, and operational challenges. According to Okpara and Amadi (2021), university leadership in Rivers State require a transformative approach that integrates data-driven decision-making, strategic resource allocation, and adaptive leadership to navigate the complexities of modern higher education. Given the increasing global competition in academic excellence, research output, and graduate employability, universities must adopt leadership frameworks that emphasize goal-setting, institutional accountability, and sustainable development strategies. Public universities that fail to align their leadership models with 21st-century educational demands risk inefficiencies that could compromise their academic credibility and societal contributions.

A key aspect of goal actualization in contemporary universities is the role of evidence-based leadership in addressing administrative and structural deficiencies. Nwogu and Hart (2022) observed that institutions in Rivers State struggle with resource management inefficiencies, primarily due to outdated administrative practices and limited strategic foresight among university leaders. These challenges hinder effective planning, disrupt faculty development initiatives, and weaken research funding mechanisms, all of which are critical to the attainment of institutional objectives. However, universities that integrate data analytics into their decision-making processes experience improved resource optimization and policy formulation. The implementation of data-driven governance as highlighted by Dike and Onuoha (2023) enables university administrators to align institutional goals with national and international educational policies, thereby enhancing academic quality and institutional sustainability.

In addition to data-driven leadership, public universities in Rivers State must also leverage innovative funding alternatives such as public-private partnerships (PPP) to achieve their academic and infrastructural goals. Eke and Ogbonda (2023) asserted that the current reliance on government subventions is insufficient for addressing the increasing financial demands of higher education institutions. Strategic collaborations with private sector stakeholders can provide additional funding for research, student support programs, and infrastructural expansion. Such partnerships, when effectively managed, contribute to institutional resilience, reduce dependency on unpredictable government allocations, and create opportunities for technology-driven learning innovations. Moreover, the engagement of external stakeholders fosters a leadership culture centered on accountability and efficiency, ultimately supporting the long-term objectives of public universities.

Furthermore, leadership development programs are fundamental to ensuring the sustainability of goal actualization in public universities. Amakiri and Wodi (2024) emphasized that leadership succession planning remains a significant gap in the administrative structures of many institutions in Rivers State. Without intentional investments in faculty leadership training and mentorship initiatives, universities may experience leadership voids that weaken institutional stability and disrupt long-term academic planning. The establishment of structured leadership training programs as recommended by Ndu and Wokoma (2023) will equip academic administrators with the necessary skills to navigate contemporary educational challenges while fostering an adaptive and forward-thinking leadership culture. In essence, universities that prioritize leadership development create a governance framework that supports the consistent achievement of institutional goals, ensuring their continued relevance and competitiveness in the higher education sector.

Leadership Skills and Goal Actualization

Leadership skills play a fundamental role in driving goal actualization within Rivers State universities. The scholarly work of Kiplangat (2024) contends that visionary leadership promotes institutional growth by promoting innovation, strategic planning, and stakeholder engagement. In an era where higher education faces increasing challenges, university leaders must cultivate skills such as adaptive leadership, digital literacy, and conflict resolution to navigate complex administrative landscapes. Effective leadership ensures that universities remain competitive, attract research funding, and produce high-quality graduates who contribute to national development. Theoretical perspectives on transformational leadership further highlight its relevance in higher education governance. Ololube (2024) highlighted that leadership styles that prioritize mentorship, collaboration, and data-driven strategies significantly enhance academic productivity and institutional sustainability. For Rivers State universities to achieve long-term success, leaders must prioritize policies that promote inclusivity, continuous learning, and ethical governance.

CONCLUSION

Leadership transformation in Nigerian public universities is essential for achieving sustainable institutional growth and academic excellence. This study highlights the significance of data-driven decision-making, public-private partnerships, and faculty leadership training in enhancing university governance. Effective leadership strategies not only improve institutional performance but also ensure alignment with global higher education trends. To address the leadership challenges, such as, funding constraints, political interference, and policy bottlenecks, Nigerian universities must foster a more resilient and innovative academic environment. Importantly, the study concludes that; achieving goal actualization in public universities requires a collective effort from university administrators, government agencies, and regulatory bodies. Implementing evidence-based policies, fostering collaboration, and prioritizing faculty development are critical steps toward improving leadership effectiveness. As the higher education landscape continues to evolve, adopting strategic leadership approaches will be instrumental in shaping the future of Nigerian universities.

Suggestions

- Vice-Chancellors and University Governing Councils should take stringent measures to strengthen the implementation of faculty leadership training programs, ensuring that academic staff receive structured administrative competency development through workshops, mentorship initiatives, and periodic assessment programs.
- The Federal Ministry of Education and State Ministries of Education should take decisive steps to increase budgetary allocations to public universities, ensuring that funds are specifically directed toward addressing infrastructural deficits, faculty development, and research enhancement to improve academic performance.
- The National Universities Commission (NUC) and University Senates should establish and enforce clear policies that promote ethical leadership and accountability in university governance by implementing strict monitoring mechanisms, leadership performance evaluations, and financial oversight regulations.
- Higher education researchers and policy analysts should explore comprehensive strategies for strengthening leadership succession planning in Nigerian universities by conducting empirical studies on best global practices, mentorship models, and policy reforms to ensure sustainability and continuity in academic administration.

REFERENCES

- Achanga, P. (2012). Managing and leading African universities. *International Higher Education*, 2(67), 34-52. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ihe.2012.67.8614>.
- Agbor, C. N., Ololube, N. P., & Cheta-Maclean, S. (2023). Institutional leadership practices in situations and the use of alternatives in policy analysis in Nigerian teacher education systems. *International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management*, 5(1), 85-109.
- Ajayi, T. (2018). Servant leadership and institutional growth in African universities. *African Journal of Educational Management*, 22(3), 45-60.
- Alemu, D. S. (2024). Views of African higher education scholars about effective leadership. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 16(3), 934-947. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-01-2023-0046>.
- Amakiri, P., & Wodi, C. (2024). Leadership succession planning and institutional stability in public universities in Rivers State. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 36(2), 145-163.
- Amuda-Kannike, A., & Shiyabade, B. W. (2023). Leadership in the management of higher education in Nigeria. *Handbook of Research on Leadership and Management Principles for Education* (pp. 189-209). IGI Global. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370598960_Leadership_in_the_Management_of_Higher_Education_in_Nigeria
- Ananyi, S. O. (2022). Education for economic recovery: The Nigerian experience. *Proceedings of the 2nd international Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa ICILCB2020* (pp.466-472). Held on the 26th-29th September 2022 at University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.

- Ananyi, S. O., Cheta-Maclean, S., & Ololube, N. P. (2024). Social Justice and Credible Leadership in the 21st Century University Leadership and Management. *South Asian Res J Bus Manag*, 6(4), 119-134.
- Bassey, S., & Ekpo, U. (2020). Leadership ethics and student engagement in higher education: A Nigerian perspective. *Journal of Higher Education Research*, 15(2), 89-105.
- Cheta-Maclean, S., & Ololube, N. P. (2024). What role does the strength of character of institutions play in the planning and leadership of public universities in Rivers State, Nigeria? *African Journal of Management and Business Research*, 17(1), 502-536. <https://doi.org/10.62154/ajmbr.2024.017.010573>.
- Cheta-Maclean, S., Ananyi, S. O., & Ololube, N. P. (2025). Public-private partnership dynamics and the role of institutional leadership in Nigeria's public universities economic development. In N. Baporikar (Ed.), *Public Private Partnership Dynamics for Economic Development* (pp. 289-322). Information Science Reference (an Imprint of IGI Global). DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-9153-2.ch014.
- Chukwuma, E., & Obi, K. (2023). *Transformational leadership and academic innovation in African universities*. Sunrise Publishers.
- Dike, K., & Onuoha, F. (2023). Data-driven governance and institutional sustainability: A case study of Rivers State public universities. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Leadership*, 29(4), 211-230.
- Dimunah, V. (2016). *Underfunding of federal universities in Nigeria and perceived impact*. Northeastern Library. <https://repository.library.northeastern.edu/files/neu%3Acj82pz897/fulltext.pdf>.
- Echu, E. S., & Itodo, S. M. (2022). The changing role of technology in university management in era of pandemic: The mediating role of academic staff of universities in North Central Zone of Nigeria. *Nigerian Academy of Management Journal*, 17(2), 122-134. <https://namj.tamn-ng.org/index.php/home/article/view/192>
- Ekanem, E., & Udoh, A. (2021). *Situational leadership and change management in Nigerian tertiary institutions*. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 17(4), 77-92.
- Ekanem, M., & Udoh, C. (2018). *From bureaucracy to collaboration: Leadership evolution in Nigerian universities*. Global Research Press.
- Eke, T., & Ogbonda, M. (2023). Public-private partnerships as alternative funding mechanisms for university education in Rivers State. *African Journal of Higher Education Studies*, 31(1), 89-107.
- Eze, O., & Okonkwo, P. (2019). *Mentorship and academic leadership in Nigerian universities: A servant leadership approach*. *Journal of African Educational Leadership*, 14(2), 56-72.
- Kiplangat, H. K. (2024). Transformational leadership in higher education: Empowering Africa's future. *Journal of Research and Academic Writing*, 1(2).
- Maama, H. (2024). A critical review of leadership styles in higher education institutions: Implications for employee commitment in South Africa. *Economics, Management and Sustainability*, 9(2), 84-93.
- Ndu, G., & Wokoma, E. (2023). Faculty leadership training and the enhancement of academic administration in Nigerian universities. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 42(3), 177-194.
- Nwogu, L., & Hart, J. (2022). Resource management inefficiencies and leadership challenges in Rivers State tertiary institutions. *West African Journal of Education Policy*, 27(3), 256-275.

- Nwosu, J., & Amadi, L. (2019). Situational leadership and faculty motivation in Nigerian public universities. *Journal of Educational Policy and Leadership*, 11(3), 98-115.
- Ogunode, N. J., Adamu, Y., & Musa, A. (2023). Stakeholder engagement and governance effectiveness in Nigerian public universities. *African Journal of Educational Leadership*, 18(2), 55–72.
- Ogunode, N. J., Ayoko, V. O., & Orifah, F. T. (2023). Stakeholders participation in university administration in Nigeria: Challenges and way forward. *European Multidisciplinary Journal of Modern Science*, 19, 43-53.
- Ogunyemi, F. (2022). Servant leadership and sustainable governance in African higher education. *African Journal of University Administration*, 20(1), 67-83.
- Okonkwo, A. N., & Ananyi, S. O. (2022). Good governance: A solution to 21st century educational challenges. *Proceedings of the 2nd international Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa ICILCB2020 (pp. 193-200)*. ICILCB2022 held on the 26th-29th September 2022 at University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.
- Okpara, B., & Amadi, S. (2021). Transformational leadership and goal actualization in public universities: Evidence from Rivers State. *Journal of Educational Management and Policy*, 25(2), 118-137.
- Okwu, F. B., & Sam-Kalagbor, V. O. (2023). Leadership and governance in higher education in Rivers State: The role of educational administrators. *Rivers State University Faculty of Education Conference Journal*, 3(2), 510-525.
- Ololube, N. P. (2016). *Handbook of research on organizational justice and culture in higher education institutions*. IGI Global.
- Ololube, N. P. (2017). Is the character of institutional leadership central to the quality of higher education (HE) management? *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences*, 8(1), 46-64. Doi: 10.4018/IJSDS.2017010104.
- Ololube, N. P. (2024). *Institutional leadership: Laying the foundation for success*. Pearl Publishers.
- Ololube, N. P. (2025). Public-private partnership dynamics for economic development of Nigeria. In N. Baporikar (Ed.), *Public Private Partnership Dynamics for Economic Development* (pp. 105-130). Information Science Reference (an Imprint of IGI Global). DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-9153-2.ch006.
- Ololube, N. P., Dudafa, U. J., Uriah, O. A., & Agbor, C. N. (2016). *Education policies and practices in Nigeria: Implications for research and development*. Spring Publishers.
- Olowookere, E. I., & Adepoju, A. O. (2022). Transformational leadership and university governance in Nigeria. *Journal of African Higher Education Studies*, 19(2), 88–106.
- Omopupa, A. K., & Abdulraheem, I. (2013). Underfunding of education in Nigeria: Implications for education sector development. *Review of Nigerian Educational Research and Development*, 4(2), 55-60.
- Onyekwere, L. A., & Azubuike, E. S. (2023). Institutional collaborative culture and task accomplishment in universities. *Asian Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Studies*, 6(2), 105-120.
- Ramtohul, R. (2012). Academic freedom in a state-sponsored African university: The case of the University of Mauritius. *Journal of Academic Freedom*, 3, 1-22.
- Udo, J., & Akpan, L. (2017). Research excellence and competitiveness in African universities: The role of leadership. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Research*, 13(4), 102–118.

- Venter, B. G., Du Plessis, M., & Stander, M. W. (2024). Insights into leadership practices in South African higher education. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 50, Article a2173. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v50i0.2173>.
- Walters, C. (2021). The complexity of leadership in South African universities. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 40(6), 1326-1341.
- Zuber-Skerritt, O. (2007). Leadership development in South African higher education: The heart of the matter. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 21(7), 984-1005.